



Foothill High School

230 Pala Ave. • San Jose, CA 95127 • (408) 928-9100 • Grades 11-12

Lynne Murray, Principal

murrayl@esuhsd.org

2011-12 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2012-13 School Year

East Side Union High School District

830 N. Capitol Avenue
San Jose, CA 95133
(408) 347-5000
www.esuhsd.org

District Governing Board

Frank Biehl

J. Manuel Herrera

Van Thi Le

Magdalena Carrasco

Lan Nguyen

District Administration

Chris D. Funk

Superintendent

Cathy Giammona

Assistant Superintendent

Instructional Services

Marcus Battle

Associate Superintendent

Business Services

Cari Vaeth

Director

Human Resources

About the SARC

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. All data are reported for the 2011-12 school-year, unless otherwise indicated. For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/. For additional information about this school, please contact the school administration at (408) 928-9100.

School Description

Welcome to Foothill High School. The information in this summary is designed to provide you with an overview of the school's direction and progress.

Foothill is a school for all students and is committed to give all students the necessary skills and academic background to be successful at Foothill and after they leave Foothill too! Often you will hear staff encourage students to Learn and Earn. We also invite our parents and guardians to learn right along with their student. We need to be on the same team, Team Graduate, to ensure that your student graduates from high school.

We offer most courses required for graduation and the support services necessary to prepare students to be productive and positive adults. Students are encouraged to take advantage of the class schedule, CEOs, and also request special projects to assist them in earning credits in specific courses.

We offer several opportunities for students, parents, guardians and teachers to meet in order to check the student's progress towards graduation. From Student Review Boards (meetings immediately after school with student) to our 5th Year Senior Meetings, the goal is for everyone to know what needs to be done so that the student reaches their goal of earning a diploma.

We also know that there are times that learning can't take place until the social/emotional load a student might be carrying becomes a bit less. We offer counseling services via San Jose State University social work interns that can meet with your student on a regular basis to help them work out personal problems that are keeping them from doing well in school. They become better decision makers in the process. We also can refer students to other types of counselors.

Foothill is dedicated to equity and success for all students and eagerly looks forward to providing the best learning opportunities possible. With your partnership, we will meet them where they are at today, give them what they need and hope to put them in a better learning experience so that they have the future we all wish them to have as positive adults!

Opportunities for Parental Involvement

Foothill values and includes all stakeholders in all facets of the educational process. To encourage parent participation, Foothill maintains a system of open communication and employs a variety of ways to increase stakeholder communication. Pertinent school information, including the results of the school evaluation process, school assessment data, and school programs are also available to parents and guardians via several mailings that are, at times, sent to the home which are written in English, Spanish, and Vietnamese. In addition, to ensure ongoing communication, Foothill utilizes the district's websites to provide parents with immediate access to their students' grades, attendance, test scores, school programs, and activities as well as to facilitate parent communication with staff members. Because parent and community participation is essential to student achievement, Foothill High School provides a number of parent involvement opportunities. The school has an active School Site Council that meets monthly to discuss the Single Plan for Student Achievement, the allocation of funds, Safety Plans and parent and student concern regarding Foothill's program. We also offer parenting classes for our pregnant minors, a range of parent in-services [i.e. living in harmony with your teenage child], host parent information nights, and provide multiple opportunities for parent volunteers. Moreover, counselors annually schedule parent visits and workshops that include college information, financial aid, and graduation status. For additional information, please call Lynne Murray, Proud Principal at (408) 928.9111

Student Enrollment by Grade Level	
Grade Level	Number of Students
Gr. 11	102
Gr. 12	255
Total	357

Student Enrollment by Group	
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	6.7
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.6
Asian	4.5
Filipino	4.5
Hispanic or Latino	76.5
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	1.7
Two or More Races	0.6
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	76.2
English Learners	38.7
Students with Disabilities	10.1

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution												
Year	Average Class Size			Number of Classrooms*								
				1-20			21-32			33+		
	10	11	12	10	11	12	10	11	12	10	11	12
English	21	19.2	19.8	15	15	11	4	6	8	0	0	0
Math	13.8	17.1	17.4	13	10	9	1	3	3	0	0	0
Science	20.4	20.8	20.7	7	5	2	2	4	8	0	0	0
SS	17.1	18.5	21.7	15	16	7	3	2	12	0	0	0

* Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area, English, Math, Science and Social Science (SS), rather than grade level.

Suspensions and Expulsions			
School	09-10	10-11	11-12
Suspensions Rate	43.25	8.43	12.15
Expulsions Rate	0.28	0	0
District	09-10	10-11	11-12
Suspensions Rate	16.78	11.87	15.53
Expulsions Rate	0.15	0.14	0.1

* The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment (and multiplying by 100).

School Safety Plan

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan, including the dates on which the safety plan was last reviewed, updated, and discussed with faculty; as well as a brief description of the key elements of the plan.

Foothill High School has a School Safety Committee made up of administrators, teachers, advisors, parents and community members. The School Safety Plan is reviewed each year by this committee. A recent review took place. Foothill has a very detailed, comprehensive safety plan that outlines protocols, systems, and procedures in the event of any/all emergencies. This plan also contains the yearly safety goals as determined by the students, staff, and parents. The Safety Plan and drill procedures are reviewed during the year with all staff. Safety alerts are shared with all staff as needed throughout the school year. In addition, all required drills are calendared and completed and the results are communicated to all staff.

The 2010/2011 Foothill High School Site Safety Plan has a comprehensive, enforceable, and continuous:

- Behavior policy
- Rules and regulations
- Dress code policy
- Protocols for safety/emergency drills
- Tardy policy
- Attendance policy
- Referral process
- Partnership with community agencies, City of San Jose, San Jose Police Department, and other agencies and groups that offer support services
- Safety team
- Multi-service team

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Description of the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of the school facility
- Description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair

Year and month in which data were collected: October 2012

Overview

The District makes every effort to ensure that all schools are clean, safe, and functional. To assist in this effort, the district uses a facility survey instrument developed by the State of California Office of Public School Construction. The results of this survey are available at the school office and at the district office.

Cleaning Process and Schedule

The district's Board of Trustees has adopted cleaning standards for all schools in the district. The Management Team works daily with the custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure a clean and safe school.

Deferred Maintenance Budget

The district participates in the State School Deferred Maintenance Program, which provides state matching funds on a dollar-for-dollar basis, to assist school districts with expenditures for major repair or replacement of existing school building components. Typically, this includes roofing, plumbing, heating, air conditioning, electrical systems, interior or exterior painting, and floors systems.

Age Of School Buildings

Although the main school campus was constructed in 1967, portable buildings have been added to accommodate diverse program needs as well as two science classrooms that have a separate lab area for students. Our library is 5 years old.

Modernization Projects

In the 2012/2011 school year, we modernized 12 classrooms and also installed SmartBoards in most of the classrooms and the library.

School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The Overall Rating (bottom row)

School Facility Good Repair Status					
System Inspected	Repair Status				Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	[]	[]	[X]	[]	The administration building and the Family Learning Center's mechanical system needs to be replaced. The district will be replacing the systems by the summer of 2013 or 2014
Interior: Interior Surfaces	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Electrical: Electrical	[]	[X]	[]	[]	Pest control is scheduled as needed.
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	[]	[X]	[]	[]	The district will be replacing the main switch board by 2014.
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	[]	[]	[X]	[]	
Overall Rating	[]	[X]	[]	[]	

Teacher Credentials			
School	09-10	10-11	11-12
Fully Credentialed	24	20	19.4
Without Full Credential	1	0	1
Teaching Outside Subject Area	0	0	0
Districtwide	09-10	10-11	11-12
Fully Credentialed	◆	◆	935.3
Without Full Credential	◆	◆	26.4

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School			
School	10-11	11-12	12-13
Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

* "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

Professional Development

This section provides information on the number of days provided for professional development and continuous professional growth in the most recent three year period.

Professional development opportunities for staff members are multifaceted and clearly and consistently linked to the state’s standards, district goals, the school’s core values, and occur during the school year and summer break. Our school has a coherent, comprehensive plan for professional development that is data driven and directly linked to teaching and learning. Not only do teachers and staff participate in staff development opportunities at the school, but they also take advantage of multiple professional development opportunities at the District. In addition, many teachers take professional growth classes at local colleges and universities and attend workshops offered by the Santa Clara County Office of Education. BTSAs and new teacher orientation meetings support new instructors. The school has created and successfully implemented a collaboration model for professional development. School wide and departmental meetings are held regularly so that teachers can continue to work on professional development to support school-wide efforts to align curriculum with rigorous state content standards as well as to provide instructional support for literacy and differentiation to assure the achievement of all students.

To ensure a cycle of continuous improvement, professional development is personalized to address the needs of all subject-area teachers, staff, and administrators. Not only do professional development activities for teachers reflect a best practices approach, but they also align with the California Standards for the Teaching Profession. Teachers and staff participate in professional development that is aligned with their individual fields and district plans at multiple levels. At the school level, professional development is structured to have a generalized focus (e.g., standards-based instruction), and specific facets of the professional development program (e.g., specific instructional strategies) are personalized to address the specific content area dynamics and needs. For example, in English, teachers are examining student work to ascertain effective standards-based instructional strategies, while in Physical Education, teachers are reviewing the FitnessGram data to establish effective instruction strategies for physical fitness instruction. The district also offers a multitude of professional development opportunities to broaden teachers’ knowledge, enhance their classroom management skills, and augment their repertoire of best practices instructional strategies.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers

The Federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), also known as No Child Left Behind (NCLB), requires that core academic subjects be taught by Highly Qualified Teachers, defined as having at least a bachelor’s degree, an appropriate California teaching credential, and demonstrated core academic subject area competence. For more information, see the CDE *Improving Teacher and Principal Quality* webpage at: www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/

Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers		
Location of Classes	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	96.92	3.08
Districtwide		
All Schools	96.76	3.24
High-Poverty Schools	96.57	3.43
Low-Poverty Schools	96.7	3.3

* High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School	
Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)	
Academic Counselor	1
Social/Behavioral or Career Development Counselor	0
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	.2
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0
Psychologist	0
Social Worker	0
Nurse	0
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0
Resource Specialist	0
Other	0
Average Number of Students per Staff Member	
Academic Counselor	354

* One Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full-time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full-time.

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2010-11)				
Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Restricted	Unrestricted	
School Site	\$11,260	\$2,370	\$8,890	\$78,720
District	♦	♦	\$5,234	\$77,458
State	♦	♦	\$5,455	\$70,792
Percent Difference: School Site/District			41.1%	1.6%
Percent Difference: School Site/ State			38.6%	10.1%

* **Supplemental/Restricted** expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted.

* **Basic/Unrestricted** expenditures are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at: www.ed-data.org.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2011-12)

This section provides specific information about the types of programs and services available at the school that support and assists students. For example, this narrative may include information about supplemental educational services related to the school's federal Program Improvement (PI) status.

Categorical funds come from the state or federal government. Each categorical fund was created to address a certain student need, and is based in the legislation that was passed to address that need. For example, Title I is intended to mitigate the effects of poverty by supporting supplemental educational opportunities for students, bringing them to a 'level playing field' with other students who are not living in poverty. Title III is intended to support the achievement of English Learner and immigrant students and is restricted to the purposes laid out in the original legislation that created policy, programs and their associated funding. Each categorical fund has a formula for determining how it is allocated. Some are by enrollment (CBEDS) and some are by a characteristic of the student (if they receive free or reduced lunch, or if they are an English Learner). How these funds are disbursed at the school level is determined in the Single Plan for Student Achievement which is approved initially by the Site Council and ultimately by the Board of Trustees.

Foothill High School receives:

Title 1 Funds – These monies are used to ensure that all students have a fair, equal and significant opportunity to obtain a high-quality education and reach, at a minimum, proficiency.

Economic Impact Aid [EIA] Funds - These funds are used to support additional programs and services for English Language learners and economically disadvantage students.

From these funds the following programs are being funded:

Read 180 Program

English Language Arts and Math California High School Exit Examination [CAHSEE] support program and related counseling services.

Supplemental Social Service Program to include three certified Social Workers, two of which provide mental health services and two intern Social Workers.

Multi Service Team that provides immediate intervention for students that are having difficulty focusing on their education, direct counseling services to include drug and alcohol counseling, conflict mediation, parent/student mediation, and referrals to social service agencies operated by Santa Clara County.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2010-11)		
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$47,104	\$42,660
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$74,444	\$69,198
Highest Teacher Salary	\$95,445	\$88,943
Average Principal Salary (ES)		
Average Principal Salary (MS)		\$121,140
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$126,498	\$127,707
Superintendent Salary	\$215,844	\$202,123
Percent of District Budget		
Teacher Salaries	41%	36%
Administrative Salaries	3%	5%

* For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2012-13)

This section describes whether the textbooks and instructional materials used at the school are from the most recent adoption; whether there are sufficient textbooks and instruction materials for each student; and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

Year and month in which data were collected: September 2012

Textbooks and Instructional Materials	
Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption
Reading/Language Arts The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%	English 1 – "The Language of Literature" Grade 9 McDougal Littell 2002 English 2 – "The Language of Literature" Grade 10 McDougal Littell 2002 English 3 – "Timeless Voices Timeless Themes Am. Experience" Prentice Hall 2000
Mathematics The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%	Algebra I – "Algebra 1" McDougal Littell 2007 Geometry – "Geometry" McDougal Littell 2007
Science The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%	Integrated Science 1 – "Spectrum Physical Approach/Science/Explorations" Holt 2001, 03, 04 Biology – "Biology: Web of Life; "Biology" Scott Foresman; Holt 1998, 99, 04
History-Social Science The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%	World History – "Modern World History" McDougal-Littell 1999 OR 03 US History – "The AmericanVision" Glencoe 2006 American Government – "Magruder's American Government" Prentice Hall 1997 American Government – "We the People" Center for Civic Education 2002
Foreign Language The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%	Textbooks and Instructional Materials in use are standards aligned and officially adopted
Health The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%	Textbooks and Instructional Materials in use are standards aligned and officially adopted
Visual and Performing Arts The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%	Textbooks and Instructional Materials in use are standards aligned and officially adopted
Science Laboratory Equipment The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%	Science labs are adequately equipped

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including:

- **California Standards Tests (CSTs)**, which include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics (Math) in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science (H-SS) in grades eight, and nine through eleven.
- **California Modified Assessment (CMA)**, an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards in ELA for grades three through eleven; mathematics for grades three through seven, Algebra I, and Geometry; and science in grades five and eight, and Life Science in grade ten. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations.
- **California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA)**, includes ELA and mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations.

The assessments under the STAR Program show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. On each of these assessments, student scores are reported as performance levels.

For detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the Percent of Students not tested, see the CDE STAR Results Web site at star.cde.ca.gov.

STAR Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison									
Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced								
	School			District			State		
	09-10	10-11	11-12	09-10	10-11	11-12	09-10	10-11	11-12
ELA	8	6	8	48	49	50	52	54	56
Math	4	1		27	30	29	48	50	51
Science				46	50	52	54	57	60
H-SS	8	10	9	39	43	43	44	48	49

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible. Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

EdData Partnership Web Site

EdData is a partnership of the CDE, EdSource, and the Fiscal Crisis Management and Assistance Team (FCMAT) that provides extensive financial, demographic, and performance information about California's public kindergarten through grade twelve school districts and schools.

2012 STAR Results by Student Group				
Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced			
	ELA	Math	Science	H-SS
All Students in the LEA	50	29	52	43
All Student at the School	8			9
Male	7			13
Female	9			5
Black or African American				
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian				
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino	7			10
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander				
White				
Two or More Races				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	9			10
English Learners				
Students with Disabilities				
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest webpage at dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., state Academic Performance Index [API], federal Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of state academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. For detailed information about the API, see the CDE API webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/.

API Growth by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison			
Group	Actual API Change		
	09-10	10-11	11-12
All Students at the School	-6	20	-10
Black or African American			
American Indian or Alaska Native			
Asian			
Filipino			
Hispanic or Latino		43	21
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander			
White			
Two or More Races			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged		-17	27
English Learners			
Students with Disabilities			

Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools' API ranks. The **statewide API rank** ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state. The **similar schools API rank** reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison			
API Rank	2009	2010	2011
Statewide	B	B	B
Similar Schools	B	B	B

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2012-13)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. For detailed information about PI identification, see the CDE PI Status Determinations webpage: www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/tidetermine.asp.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	2010-2011	2004-2005
Year in Program Improvement	Year 3	Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	12	
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	57.1	

API Growth by Student Group - 2012 Growth API Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the number of students included in the API and the 2012 Growth API (API-G) at the school, district, and state level.

Group	School	District	State	
All Students at the School	Students	69	16,761	4,664,264
	API-G	552	748	788
Black or African American	Students	5	541	313,201
	API-G		675	710
American Indian or Alaska Native	Students	0	61	31,606
	API-G		699	742
Asian	Students	7	5,514	404,670
	API-G		859	905
Filipino	Students	2	1,485	124,824
	API-G		803	869
Hispanic or Latino	Students	53	7,489	2,425,230
	API-G	567	653	740
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	Students	1	117	26,563
	API-G		692	775
White	Students	1	1,353	1,221,860
	API-G		789	853
Two or More Races	Students	0	165	88,428
	API-G		784	849
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	Students	51	9,107	2,779,680
	API-G	559	696	737
English Learners	Students	30	6,849	1,530,297
	API-G	536	667	716
Students with Disabilities	Students	7	1,554	530,935
	API-G		455	607

Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal ESEA requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, can be found at the CDE Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/.

AYP Criteria	School	District
Made AYP Overall	No	No
Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts	N/A	No
Met Participation Rate: Mathematics	N/A	Yes
Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts	No	No
Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics	No	No
Met API Criteria	No	Yes
Met Graduation Rate (if applicable)	No	No

Admission Requirements for California's Public Universities

University of California

Admission requirements for the University of California (UC) follow guidelines set forth in the Master Plan, which requires that the top one-eighth of the state's high school graduates, as well as those transfer students who have successfully completed specified college course work, be eligible for admission to the UC. These requirements are designed to ensure that all eligible students are adequately prepared for University-level work.

For general admissions requirements, please visit the UC Admissions Information webpage at www.universityofcalifornia.edu/admissions/. (Outside source)

California State University

Eligibility for admission to the California State University (CSU) is determined by three factors:

- Specific high school courses
- Grades in specified courses and test scores
- Graduation from high school

Some campuses have higher standards for particular majors or students who live outside the local campus area. Because of the number of students who apply, a few campuses have higher standards (supplementary admission criteria) for all applicants. Most CSU campuses have local admission guarantee policies for students who graduate or transfer from high schools and colleges that are historically served by a CSU campus in that region. For admission, application, and fee information see the CSU webpage at www.calstate.edu/admission/admission.shtml. (Outside source)

Completion of High School Graduation Requirements

This table displays, by student group, the Percent of Students who began the 2011-12 school year in grade twelve and were a part of the school's most recent graduating class, meeting all state and local graduation requirements for grade twelve completion, including having passed both the ELA and mathematics portions of the CAHSEE or received a local waiver or state exemption.

Completion of High School Graduation Requirements			
Group	Graduating Class of 2012		
	School	District	State
All Students	34.2	85.0	---
Black or African American	42.9	85.6	---
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	80.0	---
Asian	14.3	93.1	---
Filipino	25.0	92.1	---
Hispanic or Latino	35.4	76.3	---
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	50.0	85.7	---
White	33.3	89.1	---
Two or More Races	0	80.4	---
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	31.0	73.2	---
English Learners	27.0	57.4	---
Students with Disabilities	23.8	69.5	---

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate			
Indicator	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
Dropout Rate (1-year)	31.2	17.5	17.6
Graduation Rate	81.04	80.92	76.85
District			
Dropout Rate (1-year)	5.6	17.5	17.6
Graduation Rate	81.04	80.92	76.85
Dropout Rate (1-year)	5.7	16.6	14.4
Graduation Rate	78.59	80.53	76.26

* The National Center for Education Statistics graduation rate as reported in AYP is provided in this table.

California High School Exit Examination

The California High School Exit Examination (CAHSEE) is primarily used as a graduation requirement. However, the grade ten results of this exam are also used to establish the percentages of students at three proficiency levels (not proficient, proficient, or advanced) in ELA and mathematics to compute AYP designations required by the federal ESEA, also known as NCLB. For detailed information regarding CAHSEE results, see the CDE CAHSEE Web site at cahsee.cde.ca.gov/.

CAHSEE Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced			
Subject	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
English-Language Arts			
Mathematics			
District			
English-Language Arts	55	55	54
Mathematics	58	61	61
English-Language Arts	54	59	56
Mathematics	54	56	58

Advanced Placement Courses (School Year 2011-12)		
Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered*	Percent of Students In AP Courses
Computer Science	0	---
English	0	---
Fine and Performing Arts	0	---
Foreign Language	0	---
Mathematics	0	---
Science	0	---
Social Science	0	---
All courses	0	0

* Where there are student course enrollments.

California High School Exit Examination Grade Ten Results by Student Group - Most Recent Year

Group	English-Language Arts			Mathematics		
	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced
All Students in the LEA	46	21	33	39	34	27
All Students at the School						
Male						
Female						
Black or African American						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Asian						
Filipino						
Hispanic or Latino						
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander						
White						
Two or More Races						
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged						
English Learners						
Students with Disabilities						
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services						

Career Technical Education Participation	
Measure	CTE Program Participation
Number of pupils participating in CTE	22
Percent of pupils completing a CTE program and earning a high school diploma	90%
Percent of CTE courses sequenced/ articulated between the school/ institutions of postsecondary education	2%

Courses for University of California and/or California State University	
UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent
2011-12 Students Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	76.6
2010-11 Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	

Career Technical Education Programs

This section provides information about Career Technical Education (CTE) programs including:

- Programs and classes offered that are specifically focused on career preparation and or preparation for work
- How these programs and classes are integrated with academic courses and how they support academic achievement
- How the school addresses the needs of all students in career preparation and/or preparation for work, including needs unique to defined special populations of students
- The measurable outcomes of these programs and classes, and how they are evaluated
- State the primary representative of the district’s CTE advisory committee and the industries represented on the committee

Foothill High School works very closely with CCOC to provide career and vocational courses to our students. Each semester nearly 50 students participate in programs and classes that directly relate to their career choice. Our students are in courses like Fire Science, Automotive Transmissions and others to name a few.